

Selattyn and Gobowen Parish Council

Minutes of the extraordinary meeting of the Parish Council on Wednesday 25th October 2017 at the Pavilion, Gobowen at 7.00pm

In the Chair – Cllr Ellis

Present: Councillors: H Ellis, D Lloyd, C Emery, R Macey, M Keeling, E Morgan, N Harness, A Bird, T Broom, A Whitelaw, M McKenna, S Westwood Bate, S West-Wynn, A Britner.

Also present: Members of the public

722	<p>To receive apologies and reasons for absence</p> <p>It was RESOLVED to accept apologies for absence from Cllr Crow.</p>
723	<p>Disclosable Pecuniary Interests</p> <p>a) Declaration of any disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter to be discussed at the meeting and which is not included in the register of interests. Cllr Morgan declared an interest in Planning reference: 17/00887/FUL</p> <p>b) To consider any applications for dispensation. None received.</p>
724	<p>Presentations and question and answer session with Nicola Fisher, Senior Community Enablement Officer, Shropshire Council on the following topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Neighbourhood and other plans Place Plan Review <p>Overview</p> <p>Local Plan Review – All about development, number of houses. Gobowen (Hub), Selattyn (Cluster)</p> <p>Place Plans – All about infrastructure to support these developments. Framework for SC to negotiate with developers about what the local community needs (framework for negation and provides evidence base to inform CIL). Evidence base of how parish councils deliver local community priorities. If a local council wants to apply for CIL local money there is a process to go through for this.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Critical – county wide infrastructure Priority Key – the local community works directly with partners to meet local needs (Community Infrastructure) – SC will not directly provide them but will work with local councils and the community to deliver them. <p>Place Plan Review</p> <p>It is a discussion – if something is changed from priority to key and council feel it should be priority – discuss it.</p> <p>Movement from an annual review process towards it being updated regularly by Clerks through a password protected portal. However, any additions must be supported by some form of community consultation</p>

	<p>Community Led Plans It is your community business case. Look at what you've got and what you possibly need You can hold other people to account – “In our community led plan it states.....” Shropshire council will adopt a robust community led plan (as a material planning document) – 3 -5 years life span:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must contain a housing needs assessment • Withstand scrutiny • Policy where plan is put forward to cabinet and adopted by members <p>Parish Plans The things that local people are interested in and want for their community</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plan (Light) Follows the NP process, material planning documents but have not gone to referendum. Does not trump a statutory planning document. Funding – up to £9K available from Locality.</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plan It is a planning document – has to be in line with national and local planning policy (cannot contradict SC Local Plan) Professions planning support is required. 15% CIL money increased to 25% and cannot be capped. Makes you a statutory consultee Need to be very robust in approach to community engagement – specific workshops to reach the hard to reach groups</p> <p>Shifnal is one of only 2 with a fully adopted Neighbourhood Plan making them a statutory consultee on planning. Much Wenlock is the other.</p> <p>Timescales and costs Timescales – 18 months – 2 years. Local Plan – implemented end 2019 – do not go do anything before this date. Stoke on Tern - £15-16k Much Wenlock - £50K Market Drayton - £35k</p> <p>Depends how you approach it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use Locality grant pay for consultant and do rest of it yourself is an option • Neighbourhood Fund can be used to fund a Neighbourhood Plan – only if anticipating taking enough development to pay it back
725	<p>Neighbourhood and other plans – Councillors to discuss and consider the options. Agree next steps.</p> <p>It was RESOLVED to digest the information provided and meet as councillors for an informal discussion in February before bringing it back to council for a formal decision on the next steps.</p>
726	<p>Place Plan Review – Councillors to discuss the review and items for consideration as part of the review.</p> <p>It was RESOLVED that councillors consider the current place plan and it be brought to the November meeting for further discussion.</p>
727	<p>Financial Matters - Payments - To approve outstanding payments.</p>

BACS/Chq Payments made before 25.10.17					Act
Chq / BACS	Supplier	Net	Vat	Gross	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Current Account Cheque Payments					
Chq	Supplier	Net	Vat	Gross	
300734	ME and A Hughes - Headstone Repair in Hengoed Cemetery	160.00	32.00	192.00	LGA 1972 s.214(2)
	Total	160.00	32.00	192.00	
	Total cheques paid since last meeting	160.00	32.00	192.00	
BACS, Standing Orders and DD payments					
BACS No.					
BACS134	Agritel - Toner for Clerk's printer	74.95	14.99	89.94	LGA 1972 s.111
BACS135	SALC - Invoices 792 - Planning Training for 2 councillors 13.09.17 (130.00), 802 - Neighbourhood Planning Guide (4.00)	134.00	0.00	134.00	LGA 1972 s.111
	TOTAL	208.95	14.99	223.94	
	Total outgoings	368.95	46.99	415.94	

728

Defibrillators in the Parish

- a. **Purchase of Gobowen Defibrillator** – Agree costs and confirm funding. It was **RESOLVED** to apply for the BHF grant to match fund half of the Defibrillator cost and purchase the Cabinet once confirmation from the Star Housing grant has been received.
- b. **Weekly checks on Gobowen Defibrillator** – Discuss. The Clerk reported that the APC is happy to do these checks weekly. It was **RESOLVED** that the weekly checks be done by Nigel Thomas and that the Clerk arrange the required training.
- c. **Installation Costs** – Approve the quote to connect both Defibrillators to the power supply. **RESOLVED** to approve.

729

Planning matters

Cllr Morgan left the room and did not take part in discussions or voting.

a. Consider the Transport Management Plan

Planning reference: **17/00887/FUL** - Installation of a temporary access track and upgrade of existing farm entrance, for the purpose of delivery and construction of a proposed renewable energy park at Cefn-Y-Maes, Rhydycroesau, Oswestry, Shropshire, SY10 7JB.

It was **RESOLVED** to submit the following points:

- Community Energy Park - This name is misleading, what is the community involvement. The community is objecting to it and the electricity generated on site is not going to the locality.
- The proposed delivery window is too wide - 07.00 to 19.00 will unduly impact on school runs and home journeys. Preferable to have 09.30 to 15.00.
- The road surface in times of wet weather needs to have more frequent checks than currently proposed. The checks should be documented and the time and name of person carrying it out

	<p>recorded and the register should be made available for inspection at any time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the event of a dirty/muddy road the sweeping should be controlled by the police not the site operators, only when they are satisfied should sweeping cease, in the interests of the safety of road users. • The definition of the peak traffic flow period is not defined, we need further detail. • The co-ordination of movement activities should be done by Cefn-y-maes community solar park and not the supplier of the solar panels. A single contact person and deputy should be designated with full 24 hr telephone access. The contact details should be clearly displayed at the site entrance so that any issues can be promptly registered with the site operator. The caller should be issued with a reference number so as to facilitate any necessary follow up. This notice should be clearly visible at all times and protected from the elements. • The use of articulated HGV is not acceptable on the narrow country lanes, deliveries to site should be on rigid body lorries of an appropriate type. • The reporting of incidents - the proposed timeline for feedback is not satisfactory. Preliminary report 24 hrs, Final report 7 days, Technical Report 14 days. Following an incident all deliveries should cease until the causation and deficiencies have been fully investigated and a methodology put in place to ensure that it cannot happen again. To have a period of 14 days before all details are made available is neither acceptable nor professional's. Safety of road users is paramount. If procedures are adequate there will not be an incident therefore there cannot be any reason to object to this request. <p><i>Cllr Morgan returned to the meeting.</i></p> <p>b. Complete and sign the Neighbourhood Fund Annual Monitoring Form. It was RESOLVED to complete and sign by the Clerk, Chair and Shropshire Councillor.</p>
730	<p>Poppy Appeal - Agree locations / roads / lamp-posts for the poppies</p> <p>The following was AGREED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shropshire Council Fact sheet on Streetlights – Clerk to send Public Liability and clarify installation process with Shropshire Council • 10 allocated to Selattyn • 6 allocated to Hengoed • 24 allocated to Gobowen – Focus around the roundabout highways lights if possible, if not, parish council owned lights.
731	<p>Date of next meeting - To note date, time and location. Thursday 9th November – 7.00pm.</p>